



# BOOK OF MORMON CENTRAL

<http://bookofmormoncentral.org/>

---

## Metal Plates and the Book of Mormon

Author(s): William J. Hamblin

Source: *Pressing Forward with the Book of Mormon: The FARMS Updates of the 1990s*

Editor(s): John W. Welch and Melvin J. Thorne

Published: Provo, UT: FARMS, 1999

Page(s): 20–22

---

## 6

# METAL PLATES AND THE BOOK OF MORMON

*"The law was engraven upon the  
plates of brass." (1 Nephi 4:16)*

In the past, critics of the Book of Mormon have attacked the alleged absurdity of the Book of Mormon having been written on golden plates and its claim of the existence of an early sixth-century-B.C. version of the Hebrew Bible written on brass plates.<sup>1</sup> Today, however, critics almost universally admit that there are numerous examples of ancient writing on metal plates. Ironically, some critics now claim instead that knowledge of such plates was readily available in Joseph Smith's day. Hugh Nibley's 1952 observation seems quite prescient: "It will not be long before men forget that in Joseph Smith's day the prophet was mocked and derided for his description of the plates more than anything else."<sup>2</sup>

Recent reevaluation of the evidence now points to the fact that the Book of Mormon's description of sacred records written on bronze plates fits quite nicely in the cultural milieu of the ancient eastern Mediterranean.

One of the earliest known surviving examples of writing on "copper plates" are the Byblos Syllabic inscriptions (eighteenth century B.C.), from the city of Byblos on the Phoenician coast. The script is described as a "syllabary [that] is clearly inspired by the Egyptian hieroglyphic system, and in fact is the most important link known between

the hieroglyphs and the Canaanite alphabet."<sup>3</sup> It would not be unreasonable to describe the Byblos Syllabic texts as eighteenth-century-B.C. Semitic "bronze plates" written in "reformed Egyptian characters."<sup>4</sup>

Walter Burkert, in his recent study of the cultural dependence of Greek civilization on the ancient Near East, refers to the transmission of the practice of writing on bronze plates (Semitic root *dlt*) from the Phoenicians to the Greeks. "The reference to 'bronze *deltoi* [plates, from *dlt*]' as a term [among the Greeks] for ancient sacral laws should point back to the seventh or sixth century [B.C.]" as the period in which the terminology and the practice of writing on bronze plates was transmitted from the Phoenicians to the Greeks.<sup>5</sup> Students of the Book of Mormon will note that this is precisely the time and place in which the Book of Mormon claims that there existed similar bronze plates which contained the "ancient sacred laws" of the Hebrews, the close cultural cousins of the Phoenicians.

Burkert also maintains that "the practice of the *subscriptio* in particular . . . connects the layout of later Greek books with cuneiform practice, the indication of the name of the writer/author and the title of the book right at the end, after the last line of the text; this is a detailed and exclusive correspondence which proves that Greek literary practice is ultimately dependent upon Mesopotamia. It is necessary to postulate that Aramaic leather scrolls formed the connecting link."<sup>6</sup> Joseph Smith wrote that "the title-page of the Book of Mormon is a literal translation, taken from *the very last leaf*, on the left-hand side of the collection or book of plates, which contained the record which has been translated."<sup>7</sup> This idea would have been counterintuitive in the early nineteenth century when title pages appeared at the beginning, not the end, of books.

Why, then, did Joseph claim the Book of Mormon practiced *subscriptio*—writing the name of the author and

title at the end of the book? If the existence of the practice of *subscriptio* among the Greeks represents “a detailed and exclusive correspondence which proves that Greek literary practice is ultimately dependent upon Mesopotamia [via Syria],” as Burkert claims, cannot the same thing be said of the Book of Mormon—that the practice of *subscriptio* represents “a detailed and exclusive correspondence” which offers proof that the Book of Mormon is “ultimately dependent” on the ancient Near East?

---

*Research by William J. Hamblin, originally published as a FARMS Update in Insights (July 1994): 2.*

#### NOTES

1. See, for example, John Hyde Jr., *Mormonism: Its Leaders and Designs* (New York: Fettridge, 1857), 217–18; M. T. Lamb, *The Golden Bible* (New York: Ward and Drummond, 1887), 11; and Stuart Martin, *The Mystery of Mormonism* (New York: Dutton, 1920), 27; see also William J. Hamblin, “Sacred Writing on Bronze Plates in the Ancient Mediterranean,” (FARMS, 1994) for full references and analysis of the issues raised in this article.

2. Hugh W. Nibley, *Lehi in the Desert; World of the Jaredites; There Were Jaredites* (Salt Lake City: Deseret Book and FARMS, 1988), 107.

3. See Stephen A. Kaufman, “Languages (Aramaic),” *Anchor Bible Dictionary*, ed. David N. Freedman (New York: Doubleday, 1992), 4:178; see 4:178–80. Byblos is only about 170 miles north of Jerusalem.

4. Nibley, *Lehi in the Desert*, 105–6, mentions these plates, which were not deciphered until 1985.

5. Walter Burkert, *The Orientalizing Revolution: Near Eastern Influence on Greek Culture in the Early Archaic Age* (Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press, 1992), 30.

6. *Ibid.*, 32.

7. *History of the Church*, 1:71, emphasis added; see 6:366.